P Applied Physics Letters

Unveiling the (De)coupling of magnetostructural transition nature in magnetocaloric R5Si2Ge2 (R=Tb, Gd) materials

A. M. Pereira, E. Kampert, J. M. Moreira, U. Zeitler, J. H. Belo et al.

Citation: Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 132510 (2011); doi: 10.1063/1.3640213 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3640213 View Table of Contents: http://apl.aip.org/resource/1/APPLAB/v99/i13 Published by the American Institute of Physics.

Related Articles

Magnetic properties and thermal stability of (Fe,Co)-Mo-B-P-Si metallic glasses J. Appl. Phys. 111, 063906 (2012) Self-similarity in (∂M/∂T)H curves for magnetocaloric materials with ferro-to-paramagnetic phase transitions J. Appl. Phys. 111, 07A950 (2012) Electrical control of Curie temperature in cobalt using an ionic liquid film Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 122402 (2012) Investigation of spin and orbital moments of L10 FePtRh thin films J. Appl. Phys. 111, 07C120 (2012) Comparison of magnetic and thermoelectric properties of (Nd,Ca)BaCo2O5.5 and (Nd,Ca)CoO3 J. Appl. Phys. 111, 07D727 (2012)

Additional information on Appl. Phys. Lett.

Journal Homepage: http://apl.aip.org/ Journal Information: http://apl.aip.org/about/about_the_journal Top downloads: http://apl.aip.org/features/most_downloaded Information for Authors: http://apl.aip.org/authors

ADVERTISEMENT



Unveiling the (De)coupling of magnetostructural transition nature in magnetocaloric $R_5Si_2Ge_2$ (R = Tb, Gd) materials

A. M. Pereira,^{1,a)} E. Kampert,² J. M. Moreira,¹ U. Zeitler,² J. H. Belo,¹ C. Magen,³ P. A. Algarabel,⁴ L. Morellon,^{4,5} M. R. Ibarra,^{4,5} J. N. Gonçalves,⁶ J. S. Amaral,⁶ V. S. Amaral,⁶ J. B. Sousa,¹ and J. P. Araújo^{1,b)}

¹IFIMUP and IN-Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Departamento de Física e Astronomia Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal ²Radboud University of Nijmegen, High Field Magnet Laboratory, Toernooiveld 7, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

³Instituto de Nanociencia de Aragón-ARAID, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain ⁴Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza and Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientícas, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

⁵Instituto de Nanociencia de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain ⁶Departamento de Física and CICECO, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

(Received 19 May 2011; accepted 13 August 2011; published online 28 September 2011)

We present a detailed study on the magnetization under high magnetic fields of Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ and Gd₅Si₂Ge₂ compounds. From the Arrott plot construction (A. Arrott, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1394 (1957)), we were able to estimate the T_C of each structure (*M* and O(I)) experimentally and found that the T_C of the O(I) phase can be directly obtained by extrapolating the T_C curve of this phase in the respective phase diagram. Using a physical model based on free energy considerations, one explains the (de)coupling of the magnetic and structural transitions in R₅(Si_xGe_{1-x})₄ (R = Tb, Gd) compounds. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3640213]

There is a great deal of interest in using the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) as an alternative technology for refrigeration both at room and cryogenic temperatures, since the magnetic refrigeration (MR) is an environmentally friendly cooling technology.¹ Several materials are being envisaged for MR systems. In particular, we stress the $R_5(Si_xGe_{1-x})_4$ compounds with R = Gd and Tb, since they present the widest temperature range and the highest absolute value of ΔS_M ² This extraordinary effect essentially arises from the spontaneous 1st-order structural transition from an orthorhombic [O(I)] to a monoclinic (M) phase (de)coupled to a magnetic transition from a ferromagnetic (FM) to a paramagnetic (PM) phase³ that can be easily triggered by an applied magnetic field and/or an applied hydrostatic pressure.^{4,5} There are scarce studies on the nature of these magnetostructural transitions (MST). In particular, we highlight the theoretical works performed by Paudyal *et al.*^{6,7} for $Gd_5(Si_x Ge_{1-x})_4$ system. They estimated that M and O(I) structures present similar internal free energies but with different critical order temperatures and that the structural transition could be explained by considering only the magnetic entropy variation and the free energies at T = 0 K. Herein, we present the effect of high magnetic fields on the magnetization of Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ and Gd₅Si₂Ge₂ compounds and their ability to induce a MST in the PM phase. Both compounds exhibit a [M, PM] phase at room temperature.^{4,8,9} On cooling, the Gd compound displays only a 1st-order magneto-structural transition, changing from the [M, PM] to the [O(I), FM] phase at $T_S \sim 276$ K.¹⁰ The Tb compound presents two distinct phase transitions: one of 2ndorder and simply magnetic $[M, PM] \rightarrow [M, FM]$, which occurs at $T_C = 112$ K followed by a 1st-order structural change of the $[M, FM] \rightarrow [O(I), FM]$ type, which occurs at a lower temperature $T_S \sim 95$ K.⁹ In this way, the study of Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ can help to unveil the interplay between the spin and lattice components. These materials were prepared following the synthesis referred in Ref. 11 and the isothermal magnetization curves [M(H)] measurements were performed using an extraction magnetometer in a Bitter magnet, HFML (Nijmegen, NL). ab-initio calculations were performed using the Wien2K code¹³ via the augmented plane wave method with local orbitals (APW+lo) approach. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) display the M(H) for Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ and Gd₅Si₂Ge₂, under H up to 31 T and in the temperature range of 77-340 K. A step-like jump is observed in the experimental M(H) curves, in an intermediate temperature range (98-145 K for Tb and 280-340 K for Gd), from a low initial magnetization state (LM) to a high magnetization state (HM). The change of this magnetization state occurs at a critical magnetic field (H_C) that depends on the path of magnetic field (increase or decrease of magnitude). In order to obtain knowledge about the nature of the magnetic phase transitions, we used the Arrot plot representation since it is a simple but effective method to obtain such information (Figs. 1(c) and 1(d))¹⁴ (see supplementary material¹⁸). Analyzing the H/M vs M^2 plot for the Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ compound [Fig. 1(c)], one observes that the curves in the shadowed region (T < 166 K) exhibit two different linear regimes. This indicates that, for this temperature range, the magnetic field is sufficient to induce the [M, PM] \rightarrow [O(I), FM] transition presenting considerably different susceptibilities [inset of Fig. 1(c)) and see supplementary material¹⁸]. The spontaneous magnetization M (T, H=0) can be estimated through a linear extrapolation of the high magnetization field data (see supplementary material¹⁸); the results obtained are depicted in Fig. 2(a). Notice that this is the spontaneous magnetization of the HM state, i.e., of the O(I)

^{a)}Electronic mail: ampereira@fc.up.pt.

0003-6951/2011/99(13)/132510/3/\$30.00

^{b)}Electronic mail: jearaujo@fc.up.pt.



FIG. 1. (Color online) M(H) isothermal magnetization of: (a) $Tb_5Si_2Ge_2$ in the temperature range of 77–300 K and (b) $Gd_5Si_2Ge_2$ in the temperature range of 77–340 K, for applied fields H up to 31 T. Arrott plots for: (c) $Tb_5Si_2 Ge_2$ (Inset: T = 124 K) and (d) $Gd_5Si_2Ge_2$ (Inset: T = 314 K).

structure. The PM susceptibility of the *M* phase can be directly determined from the low field isothermal curves (T > 112 K). The χ^{-1} is also displayed in Fig. 2(a) (dot points). From these results, we were able to estimate experimentally the critical temperatures of the O(I) $(T_C^{O(I)} \sim 200\pm 2 \text{ K})$ and *M* structures $(T_C^M \sim 112\pm 3 \text{ K})$, with the former being coincident with order temperature of Tb₅Si₂Ge₂.⁹ In the Gd case, the same analysis was performed (see supplementary material¹⁸), leading to $T_C^{O(I)} \sim 308\pm 2 \text{ K}$ and $T_C^M \sim 251\pm 5 \text{ K}$. These experimental results are in fair accordance with the values used by Paudyal *et al.* on their theoretical calculations $(T_C^{O(I)} \sim 305 \text{ K} \text{ and } T_C^M \sim 251 \text{ K}$ from the PM susceptibility relies only on four available M(H) isotherms, probably justifying the higher value obtained. Additionally, the presence of a small amount of O(I) phase (commonly observed for this particular composition) in the Gd sample can also contribute to the experimental context.



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Temperature dependence of the spontaneous magnetization (blue dots) and reciprocal susceptibility (red triangle) curves of Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ obtained from Arrot representation. The dashed lines (dash lines) are the spontaneous magnetization Brillouin curves assuming $T_C^M = 112$ K and $T_C^{O(l)} = 200$ K. (b) Temperature dependence of the $H_C(T)$. (c) Magnetic and crystallographic phases diagram of Tb₅(Si_xGe_{1-x})₄ taken from Ref. 8 including the determined value of $T_C^{O(l)}$ (star). The square represents the temperature of the order-disorder transition (for x > 0.45: PM \rightarrow FM and for x < 0.45 from PM to AFM state). The circle symbols represent the order-order transition also called spin reorientation transition.

tal value of T_C^M . One immediately recognizes that Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ orders ferromagnetically considerably above the $M \rightarrow O(I)$ transition ($T_S \sim 95$ K), i.e., this system has $T_S < T_C^M$, whereas for the Gd compound, one has $T_S > T_C^M$. In Fig. 2(b), the linear temperature dependence of H_C is shown for Tb₅Si₂Ge₂, giving $\frac{dT_s}{dH_c} \sim 2.3 \text{ K/T}$, whereas for the Gd₅Si₂ Ge₂ compound, the value found was $\frac{dT_s}{dH_c} \sim 7.1 \text{ K/T}$ (see supplementary material¹⁸), which is similar to the predicted value.⁶ Including the obtained $T_C^{O(l)}$ in the (x, T) magnetostructural phase diagram for the $Tb_5(Si_xGe_{1-x})_4$ system, one notices that this value is in agreement with the those expected from the linear extrapolation of temperature (Fig. 2(c)) of the Si-rich O(I) region. This observation is also in accordance with the Gd case.⁶ This fact strengthens the idea that the formation of the covalent Si(Ge)-Si(Ge) bonds in the interlayer region indeed increases the exchange interaction, in accordance with previous experimental and theoretical measurements.¹⁵ From the obtained results, it can be stressed that both O(I) and M phases exhibit a 2nd-order (continuous) PM to FM transition (see continuous Brillouin curves in Fig. 2(a)). Consequently, the 1st order magnetostructural transition experimentally observed is always a consequence of the change of the crystallographic structure. In fact, the $M \rightarrow O(I)$ transition is always discontinuous since the symmetry group of the O(I) phase is not a sub-group of the M one.¹⁶ Considering a simple thermodynamic model for $Tb_5Si_2Ge_2$ compound, the total free energy (F) per ion (at constant volume) for a crystalline system at temperature Tand under H (Ref. 6) is given by

$$F(T,\sigma) = U_{lat} - HgJ\sigma - \frac{3}{2}\frac{J}{J+1}k_BT_C\sigma^2 - TS_M - TS_{lat},$$
(1)

where σ is the reduced magnetization, the first term U_{lat} is the non-magnetic internal energy, the second term is the Zeeman term, the third one is the magnetic ion-ion exchange interaction energy, and the last two terms are the entropy contributions (magnetic and lattice, respectively). Using *ab-initio* calculation (local spin-density approximation method), one obtains 0.11 eV for F_M - $F_{O(l)}$ at T = 0 K which is equal to the energy difference between the U_{lat} of both structures. The magnetic entropy per ion (S_M) can be estimated by the following approximation,⁶ excluding the fourth-order parameter, $O(\sigma^4)$:

$$S_M(\sigma) \sim k_B \left[ln(2J+1) - \frac{3}{2} \frac{J}{J+1} \sigma^2 + O(\sigma^4) \right].$$
 (2)

The magnetic equation of state ($\sigma(T, H)$) can be obtained by finding the zero of the derivative of Eq. (1) with respect to σ [leading to $\sigma = \sigma(T, H)$], which corresponds to the condition of the free energy minimum. This free energy minimum is then given by $F^{min}(T, H) = F[T, \sigma(T, H)]$. For the lattice entropy term, one can rely on the Debye approximation where the entropy per ion is given by the following approximated expression:¹²

$$S_{lat}(T) \sim 4k_B - 3k_B ln\left(\frac{\Theta_D}{T}\right) + \frac{3}{40}k_B\left(\frac{\Theta_D}{T}\right)^2 + O\left[\left(\frac{\Theta_D}{T}\right)^3\right],\tag{3}$$



FIG. 3. (Color online) Change in the magnetic free energy as a function of temperature of both structures (M and O(I)) for Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ using Eq. (1).

where Θ_D is the (cut-off) Debye temperature. Using the value of $\Theta_D^{O(l)} \sim 170 \, \text{K}$ from Ref. 17 and the relation $\frac{\Theta_D^{M}}{\Theta_Q^{O(l)}} = 1 - \eta \frac{\Delta V}{V}$, where η is the Grüneisen parameter ($\eta \sim 10$ for $Gd_5Si_2Ge_2$ ¹² and $\frac{\Delta V}{V}$ is around ~1%, one can estimate the Θ_D^M to be ~153 K. Considering both Θ_D 's and Eq. (3), the S_{lat} contribution for the total free energy in Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ is found to be smaller than 10% of the total free energy. This result has similar magnitude as the one previously obtained by Liu et al.¹² for Gd case showing that the main contribution arises from the magnetic entropy variation. Concerning all contributions for the $F(T, \sigma)$ per ion (Fig. 3) $[[\Delta F_{O(I)}^{min}]$ and $[\Delta F_M^{min}]]$ in the Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ material, a crossover of the free energies is noticed at $T_S = 92.2$ K, meaning that our model predicts a structural transition at this particular temperature. In fact, this result is in accordance with the experimental data ($T_s = 95$ K; on cooling). As can be inferred from Eq. (1), the effect of a magnetic field is to the decrease of the free energy. However, due to the different T_C 's of each crystal structure, the free energy of the crystallographic phase with higher $T_C^{O(I)}$ suffers a higher decrease than the one with lower T_C^M , thus increasing the $T_S(H)$, where $F_M(H) = F_{O(I)}$ (H), and explaining the observed linear dependence in $H_C(T)$ (see Fig. 2(b)) and the Gd compound case. As a first glance, these results can be important scenarios for the analysis of the occurrence of (de)coupling of MST on the $R_5(Si_xGe_{1-x})_4$ system: i.e., in the case of $T_C^M < T_S < T_C^{O(l)}$ (under cooling), the system changes simultaneously its magnetic and crystallographic phases through a [M, $PM \rightarrow [O(I), FM]$ 1st-order transition. This situation occurs in Gd₅Si₂Ge₂ reported in Ref. 6. In the case of $T_S < T_C^M < T_C^{O(I)}$, the system first exhibits (under cooling) a magnetic second order phase transition at $T_C^M[(M, \text{PM}) \rightarrow (M, \text{FM})]$ and some degrees below, at T_S , changes its crystallographic structure through a $[M, FM] \rightarrow [O(I), FM]$ 1st-order phase transition. In this situation, one says that the magnetic and structural transitions are decoupled, and this is what occurs in the Tb₅Si₂Ge₂ compound. In summary, one shows that the variation of the magnetic energy of each phase is the main contribution to the free energy and is sufficient to induce a first-order structural transition when two crystallographic structures present different T_{C} 's. Furthermore, from high magnetic field experiments and Arrott plot construction, we were able to determine experimentally the (different) T_C 's of each structure. Concerning the technological applications on MR, we stress that the ideal MCE material should present a strong competition between two crystallographic structures with two distinct critical temperatures. The variation of the magnetic entropy energy will be maximized when the crossover of the free energies (marking the occurrence of the structural transition) occurs exactly at the temperature of the lowest T_C . Nevertheless, it should be highlighted that these types of transitions usually exhibit magnetic hysteresis which is a drawback for the technological applications. The development of materials with distinct critical temperatures and without hysteresis losses constitutes the major challenge on the near future. We believe that our findings discussed herein will open new avenues on the search for this tournament, thus leading to the production of optimal magnetic materials exhibiting giant MCE.

Work partially supported by the projects FEDER/POCTI No. 155/94 and PTDC/CTM/NAN/115125/2009, from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal. A.M.P. and J.S.A. thank FCT for the Grant Nos. SFRH/BPD/63150/ 2009 and SFRH/BPD/63942/2009. C.M. acknowledges the support of the Fundacin ARAID. The financial support of the Spanish MEC (MAT2008-06567-C02) and DGA (Grant No. E26) is acknowledged. Part of this was supported by Euro-MagNET under the EU Contract No. 228043.

- ¹K. A. Gschneidner, Jr. and V. K. Pecharsky, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. **30**, 387 (2000).
- ²K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., V. K. Pecharsky, and A. Tsokol, Rep. Prog. Phys. **68**, 1479 (2005).
- ³V. Pecharsky and K. Gschneidner, Jr., Adv. Mat. 13, 683 (2001).
- ⁴L. Morellon, P. A. Algarabel, M. R. Ibarra, J. Blasco, B. Garcia-Landa, Z. Arnold, and F. Albertini, Phys. Rev. B 58, R14721 (1998).
- ⁵C. Magen, L. Morellon, P. A. Algarabel, M. R. Ibarra, Z. Arnold, J. Kamarad, T. A. Lograsso, D. Schlagel, V. K. Pecharsky, A. O. Tsokol, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **72**, 024416 (2005).
- ⁶D. Paudyal, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and B. N. Harmon, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 144406 (2006).
- ⁷V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Ya. Mudryk, and D. Paudyal, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **321**, 3541 (2009).
- ⁸L. Morellon, J. Blasco, P. A. Algarabel, and M. R. Ibarra, Phys. Rev. B 62, 1022 (2000).
- ⁹L. Morellon, C. Ritter, C. Magen, P. A. Algarabel, and M. R. Ibarra, Phys. Rev. B 68, 024417 (2003).
- ¹⁰V. K. Pecharsky and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4494 (1997).
- ¹¹A. M. Pereira, A. M. Santos, C. Magen, J. B. Sousa, P. A. Algarabel, Y. Ren, C. Ritter, L. Morellon, M. R. Ibarra, and J. P. Araujo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 98, 122501 (2011).
- ¹²G. J. Liu, J. R. Sun, J. Lin, Y. W. Xie, T. Y. Zhao, H. W. Zhang, and B. G. Shen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 212505 (2006).
- ¹³P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, and J. L. Kvasnicka, Wien2K— An augmented plane wave + local orbitals program for calculating crystal properties, available at www.wien2k.at (2011).
- ¹⁴A. Arrott, Phys. Rev. 108, 1394 (1957).
- ¹⁵D. Haskel, Y. B. Lee, B. N. Harmon, Z. Islam, J. C. Lang, G. Srajer, Y. Mudryk, K. A. Gschneidner, and V. K. Pecharsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 247205 (2007).
- ¹⁶N. Bocarra, Symtries Brisées (Herman, Paris, 1976).
- ¹⁷M. B. Gomes and N. A. de Oliveira, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **320**, 153 (2009).
- ¹⁸See supplementary material at http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3640213 for where it is briefly described the Arrott analysis as well as the complementary results on Gd₅Si₂Ge₂ compound.